1	14-21 - INFORMATION & SCOPING MEETING - BAUDETTE		
2	JULY 16, 2014 - 6:00 P.M.		
3	FOR THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,		
4	MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,		
5	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY		
6			
7			
8	In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Power for Route Permit for the Great Northern High-Voltage		
9	Transmission Line Project from Manitoba, Canada - Minnesota Border to the Blackberry Substation near Grand Rapids, Minnesota		
10			
11	PUC DOCKET NO. E-015/TL-14-21		
12			
13			
14			
15	Lake of the Woods School		
16	236 15th Avenue SW Baudette, Minnesota		
17			
18	July 16, 2014		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	OQUET DEPORTED 1 1 2 1 1 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		
25	COURT REPORTER: Janet Shaddix Elling, RPR		

			0
			2
1	SPEAKER Tracy Smetana	INDEX	PAGE 3
3	Julie Ann Smith		18
4	David Moeller		25
5	David Leonhardt		50
6	Dick Myers		59
7	Thomas Beadle		61
8	Ross Dally		66
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1

everyone, and welcome.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MS. TRACY SMETANA: Good evening,

This is the second in a series of public information and scoping meetings that we'll be holding regarding Minnesota Power's proposed Great Northern Transmission Line Project.

On this cover slide I included what we call our docket number. That's sort of the key to finding information at the Public Utilities Commission. You see it's E-015/TL-14-21. So when you're communicating with folks, you're looking for information about the project, that's sort of the key to finding things with our office.

We'll just go over the agenda briefly. First we're going to talk about the route permit roles and process. I'm Tracy with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, I'll kick things off Then we'll transition over to the U.S. Department of Energy. They play a role in this project as well. We'll ask Minnesota Power to provide us with a summary of their proposed project. And then the main event for tonight is talking about the environmental review, and both the Department of Commerce and the Department of Energy will play a role in that part of the presentation. And, of

1

2

3

course, the main reason we're here is to receive your comments and feedback on the project.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So first off I'd like to start with just a little information about who is the Public Utilities Commission. I know for me, until I applied for a job with the Public Utilities Commission, I had no idea such an agency existed, didn't know who they were or what they did. regulate permitting for power plants, pipelines, transmission lines. We also deal with local and in-state long-distance telephone companies, investor-owned electric and natural gas utilities, their services, and rates.

We have five commissioners that are

appointed by the governor. They serve staggered terms so they don't all come in and go out at the same time. And they're full-time employees at the Commission. So it's not like a small-town city council where they might show up for a couple meetings a month and that's the extent of their duties. The Public Utilities Commissioners are full-time employees at the Commission. And then we have about 50 staff that assist them in doing the work of regulating these industries.

So a little bit about who's who in this

25

route permit process. First off we have the applicant. That's the term that we use for the company that's asking for the route permit. So in this case the applicant is Minnesota Power. So quite often you might hear folks that work in this field refer to the applicant and that's who they're talking about, the company.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also have the Department of Commerce, Energy Environmental Review and Analysis, which you might see abbreviated as EERA. And their job in this process is to conduct the environmental review and they're a state agency.

We also have the Office of Administrative Again, another abbreviation for you, OAH. They'll be involved a little bit later in the process. There will be an administrative law judge that holds public hearings back out in these communities again to gather your input on the We'll also hold what we call evidentiary project. hearings, where it's kind of like a trial or a court setting where folks are sworn in and tell the truth and all of that stuff. People can cross-examine witnesses and so on. And the idea is for the judge to gather all of the facts in the case. ultimately the judge will collect all of that

information and write a report with some recommendations for the Public Utilities Commission.

Then we have the Office of Electricity

Delivery and Energy Reliability with the U.S.

Department of Energy. And their job is to lead the environmental, historic, and cultural resource reviews and consultations for the presidential permit application in this case.

And then at the Public Utilities

Commission, I've already given you a little
information about who we are, but there's two folks
from our agency that you might interact with as part
of this process. The first is the energy facilities
planner, and their job is to assist in building the
record, kind of on the technical side, they are more
of our technical staff. They provide the
Commissioners with information on the impacts of
various alternatives that could come out of this
process.

Staff at the Commission are neutral, we're not advocating for one party or one position. You know, you can't come to us and say, hey, help me get what I want in this process. That's not our job to do that. We can give you information about the process and then you need to take those facts and do

what you need to do with them.

Also, the public advisor, that's me. My job is to work with folks and help them figure out the process, where you plug in, when you plug in, how you can participate and add your input to the process. And, again, I'm neutral, I don't give legal advice, I don't advocate on anyone's behalf.

So why is the Public Utilities Commission involved in this process in the first place? We talked about the Commission having authority over permitting for transmission lines and other energy facility projects. This particular project is considered a high-voltage transmission line because of its size and length. It will operate at 100 kilovolts, which you might see abbreviated as kV like I've done here, or more, and it's greater than 1,500 feet in length. If that's true, then the statutes and rules say that the company needs a route permit before they can build it. And I've referenced the appropriate statutes and rules, in case you're looking for some really interesting bedtime reading, you can look those up.

Now, the other side of this process is what we call a certificate of need. So that answers the question is the project needed. And this

project needs a certificate of need because the statutes and rules call it a large energy facility. And, again, it's because of the capacity and the length of this particular project. That's handled in a separate process. We're not going to talk about the question of need here tonight, but I just wanted to let folks know that that's out there as well. It's sort of a two-part process, is the project needed, and if it is, where is it going to go. So we're talking about the where is it going to go side tonight, okay.

So how does the Public Utilities

Commission make a decision on this route question?

Where is it going to go if it's needed? These are some of the factors that the Commission is required to consider.

Now, when you look at this list, everybody in the room is going to have some different ideas about which things might be most important. And it's up to the Commissioners to decide how to sort of rank these things and sort of haggle over which things take priority over another. The rules don't tell them, you know, human settlement is the most important factor that you have to consider, everything else is secondary. So

there's a lot of debate that happens about these factors. So, again, human settlement is one, public health and safety is another, the economy, archaeological and historic resources, the natural environment, which we're going to talk a little bit more about later.

We also want to do things to maximize efficiency, allow for any future expansion that might be coming down the road. If there's existing rights-of-way that make sense, we want to have the company look at that as an option as well. Also, using natural boundaries, field lines, those types of things. Electrical system reliability is obviously important. When we flip the switch we want the lights to go on, right, so we have to consider that. Also, we want to look at the costs to construct and operate and maintain the line.

So in the end, after this process concludes, if the Commission does issue a route permit these are some of the things that you might see in it. So the permitted route is the location of the line between point A and point B. And there's going to be a width that's going to vary along that route, and it could be as wide as one and a quarter miles. And the reason for that is to

allow some flexibility. You know, someone's got a building and they want something shifted one way or another to avoid that, then you'll have some flexibility to work with the company to address those concerns.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, it's going to get smaller as we go down the page here. The next item is the right-of-way, and that's the actual land needed within the route for construction. So you've got this big, wide route and then you narrow it down to the right-of-way. And then from there you get smaller yet in what we call the anticipated alignment. So in the very end, if a route permit is issued, the company will provide some information that says we think this is exactly where this line is going to go, where the poles are going to go, where the line is going to go and so on. that I call it anticipated, because until it's out in the ground, you know, we don't know exactly where it's going to end up. It allows the company some flexibility.

If a route permit is issued, some of the concerns that folks have do deal with the easement.

There is a handout in the back that I'm guessing most of you grabbed that addresses that in a little

bit more detail. And I just want to highlight a couple points about it. Again, I'm not an attorney, I can't give you legal advice, but I just want to tell you this information is out there and these are some terms you might hear. So if you have further interest you might want to do some more research on those points. So an easement would be something negotiated between a landowner and the company when it comes to where that line is going to be placed.

If a route permit is issued, the company would also have the power to use eminent domain, to take land in a case where the landowner and the company can't come to an agreement. And then it's up to the courts to sort of sort that out and there's a whole legal process. Again, I'm not going to talk about the legal stuff, I just want to let you know these things are out there.

There is also a provision that some folks may have heard of called Buy the Farm. There's a statute that talks about the details of how that works. And, basically, what that says is that in some cases the landowner can require the applicant to purchase the property if it fits into one of these classifications: Residential, agricultural, or seasonal residential recreational. So if you

have property that falls into one of those categories, there's a possibility that it would meet the criteria under this Buy the Farm. I'm not going to spend a lot of time talking about that. There's a handout in the back that goes into a little more detail, and certainly there are some other resources if you want more information about that.

Next I have sort of a high level view of what happens throughout this process and what it looks like. So you see up at the top that first box says application accepted. And actually what happens before that is the application is submitted, okay. And when we say application accepted, it doesn't mean we think it's good to go, it's all set, because you can see it's the very first box, right. Application accepted simply means everything that we need is in the application to move forward and start that review process. And so that's what we're really starting tonight by asking you folks to weigh in on the environmental aspects.

And so you can see that second box, which says public information and scoping meetings, that's where we are today, okay. You can see there's a lot of other boxes that we have to get through before we get down to the end on a decision on that route

permit.

We'll have -- and I'm not going to steal Bill's thunder on the environmental side, but those are the parts that the Department of Commerce participates in. Once their draft environmental impact statement is completed, the judge that I mentioned earlier will come back out and do some public hearings and talk about what your thoughts are on the routes that are on the table at that point in time and the project in general.

As I mentioned, the judge will also hold these evidentiary proceedings, sort of like a court proceeding. And then the judge will issue a report that provides some recommendations about the project and ultimately the Public Utilities Commission will make a decision.

Now, in this case we're anticipating that from this top box, accepted, down to decision, is approximately 15 to 18 months. So you can see we're very early on in the process at this point. There's a lot of information that we need to sort through before any decisions are made. And your help is very important in that process.

So if you like a list instead of a picture, this slide is the one that you'll want to

look at. You can see the application was filed in March, the Commission said it was accepted, we've got everything we need to move forward and start reviewing it in June. Now here we are in July with the public information and scoping meetings, and then we'll move through the rest of that process with anticipating a decision on the route permit in October of 2015. So, like I said, we've got a long way to go, we're very early on in the process and we certainly do appreciate your help in getting there.

So one of the ways that folks can weigh in, besides coming to meetings like this, is to submit written comments. And so the Commission periodically will have an open comment period on various topics. And you can see this is an old one back from April, but I wanted to put it up here just as an example so I can point out the key elements. If you happen to get one of these in the mail or you see it on the website, you'll kind of know what am I supposed to do with this.

So first off, here is that docket number again. That's the key to finding anything with the Public Utilities Commission. All of our stuff is filed off of that docket number. So that's important to note.

1
 2
 3

4

5

6

8

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

The next thing you want to pay attention to is the comment period. What's going to happen throughout the process is we're going to have a variety of questions and answers that we're looking And so you can see back in April and May we were looking for questions right here. These are the topics actually open for comment. And so it's really important when we say, hey, we want to know, should an advisory task force be appointed, the time to weigh in on that question was back in April and May. If somebody comes to us with an idea about that now, it's too late to consider that idea because we've already moved on from that part of the process. And so it's really important when you're looking at one of these notices that you pay attention to the deadlines and also what are those topics that we're looking for answers to right now.

So you might be thinking, hmm, this is all really good stuff, but I want to stay in touch with this, I want to find out more. Maybe this is the first meeting or interaction I've had with this project and I want to learn what I've missed so far. So there's some ways you can do that. You can see all documents related to this project, everything that comes in to the Public Utilities Commission in

this process goes into what we call our eDocket system. It's an electronic filing system and it's on the Internet. So anybody can go out there and take a look at the documents that are in this case. I've outlined the steps here and I've included the docket number for both the route permit, which is what we're talking about today, and also that question of need, the certificate of need. So you can just follow those steps and it'll bring up a list of all the documents.

I know a number of you as you came in saw the orange cards and I think most or all of you said you were already on the mailing list, but if you're not and you want to be added to the project's mailing list to get information about future meetings or comment periods or when the environmental impact statement is available, you can sign up for this project mailing list.

We also have an e-mail subscription service where you would get an e-mail every time something new comes in. Now, for some folks, if you're not a real e-mail fan, this might be a little too much e-mail for you. So in that case I would recommend the project mailing list where you'll get kind of the high points of opportunities to weigh in

on various things. But if you're saying, yep, I like my e-mail and I want to get everything, I don't want to miss a word, then subscribing to the e-mail service is for you.

And I just wanted to give you the screenshot of what that page looks like when you go to subscribe. Because a lot of people say, oh, it's not very user-friendly, I'm not sure what to put in here. So if you follow this guide you'll know exactly what to put into the boxes when that screen comes up and then once you say save and accept that, then you'll be added to that subscription list and you'll start getting e-mails when something new comes in in this case.

And as I mentioned, at the Public
Utilities Commission there are two folks that you
might interact with. The first is me, I'm Tracy,
I'm the public advisor. And my counterpart in this
project is Mike Kaluzniak and he's also here this
evening. As I said, he deals with more of the
technical aspects, so if you have those types of
questions he's certainly available to help you with
that.

And, with that, I am going to turn it over to Julie Smith with the Department of Energy.

DR. JULIE ANN SMITH: Hello. Good evening. My name is Julie Ann Smith, I work for the United States Department of Energy, and I'm with the Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability.

I also want to express our heartfelt thanks to you for taking time out of your schedules to come and attend this meeting today. Your presence and input are absolutely vital to the robust public participation process that is so important to assessing environmental impacts.

This for us is also a scoping meeting, which is all about me, or DOE, listening to you about issues related to the project. The Department of Energy needs to hear what you think should be considered in conducting our environmental analysis.

The reason that we are here today is that Minnesota Power is proposing to construct the Great Northern Transmission Line Project, or Great Northern, an international transmission line, and has asked the Department of Energy for a permit to cross the U.S./Canadian border. Minnesota Power submitted their presidential permit application to the Department of Energy in April of 2014.

Before any electric transmission facility

can be built across the U.S. international border, the project proponent or applicant must obtain a presidential permit from DOE. A DOE presidential permit authorizes the company to construct, operate, maintain, and connect electric transmission facilities at the border.

The Department of Energy is involved in this proceeding for one reason only. The proposed transmission line would cross the international border. If this line did not cross the border, the Department of Energy would not be here today.

The Department of Energy has no authority to site this line, only the State of Minnesota, specifically the Minnesota Public Utilities

Commission, has that authority. The DOE does not convey the right of eminent domain in this presidential permit, nor can the DOE address issues of compensation for land that would be impacted by the Great Northern project.

Before the Department of Energy can issue this kind of permit, we must comply with the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA. NEPA is essentially the federal law that serves as the nation's charter for environmental protection. It requires that all agencies consider the potential

environmental impacts of their proposed actions.

NEPA is based on a set of principles, the first being full disclosure and public participation that enhances understanding not only on your part of the project, but also on our part of what is important on this project to you as well as local knowledge. And basically that's why we are here today.

A second principle is that we need to explore alternatives to the action, and that includes the no-action alternative, which means that a presidential permit would not be issued for the project.

We also need to assess all of the potential impacts across these projects on an equal level and with rigor. We have to consider mitigation or ways to reduce or avoid impacts and weigh options and explain those decisions.

NEPA essentially promotes better informed agency decision-making and provides you, the public, with the opportunity to learn about the federal agencies' proposed actions, and to provide timely information and comments on federal proposed actions.

In terms of process, NEPA has been

referred to as an umbrella statute, in that it allows agencies and developers to use one process to comply with numerous environmental, health and safety related laws for which we are responsible. We analyze potential effects for federal agency actions to numerous resource types, whether that be water, biological resources, and include other human issues such as environmental justice or safety concerns. And this all happens in one analytical document.

For this proposed project, the DOE has also determined that the appropriate level of NEPA analysis would be an environmental impact statement, or EIS. An EIS essentially tells the story of the proposed project. The Great Northern EIS will analyze the foreseeable environmental impacts that might flow from DOE granting the presidential permit. The EIS will also identify steps that need to be -- that might be needed to mitigate environmental impacts.

Other federal agencies are involved in environmental review often with DOE. For the Great Northern EIS there is the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. These agencies have permitting or

oversight authority for proposed facilities within their respective jurisdictions. And the Army Corps of Engineers is a cooperating agency to the Department of Energy for the preparation of this environmental impact statement.

So as you see from this process outline of the NEPA process, we are at scoping, the blue circle. That means we are here to listen and to get your comments and suggestions for issues and other items that we should be addressing in the EIS. We would also like to know any alternative routes for proposed projects. And Bill will be speaking to that in a little bit more detail after my presentation.

Once the scoping period closes -- the federal scoping period closes on August 11th, 2014 -- we will get to work on preparing the draft EIS. That will take us several months. Once the draft is completed, it will be posted on our website and distributed to everyone on the mailing list for the project. If you want to be on the mailing list, as Tracy pointed out, if you're not already, you can sign up at the table by the door or you can sign up using the various websites. There will be two websites -- well, three, but we also have a website

established for this project.

At the draft environmental impact statement stage, we'll make that publicly available and there will be at least a 45-day comment period for you to review that draft EIS and submit comments. During the comment period on the draft EIS, you'll be able to submit comments in writing or by e-mail. We will also be coming back to Minnesota to hold a series of public hearings to receive your oral comments on that draft EIS as well.

After the close of the comment period on the draft EIS, we will prepare the final EIS. Every comment that we receive on the draft EIS will be included in the final EIS and we will respond to each comment in the document. When the final EIS is completed, it will be sent again to everyone on the mailing list and posted on our website. By law, the Department of Energy may not make a final decision on the Great Northern Transmission Line presidential permit obligation until at least 30 days after publication of the EIS. And at that point we would issue the bottom box called the record of decision.

At the completion of the EIS process, the

Department of Energy may or may not issue the

presidential permit. If the Department of Energy

were to issue the presidential permit, the transmission line and associated facilities could not be built unless and until all other state, local, and federal permits are obtained.

For this meeting you'll see that we have a stenographer here to write down your comments and what you say so that we get those accurately.

Whether or not you choose to speak, you are invited to send us written comments. All comments, whether written or oral, are treated the same and have equal weight. We will accept comments technically until August 11th, but we do consider comments submitted after that date to the extent that we can.

If you have any specific questions about the project itself or the details of the project, as you see, the applicant, Minnesota Power and their staff are here to discuss them with you. For example, they have the mapping station set up, they can talk about tower design, talk about how the alternatives were developed in a little bit more detail perhaps than what you hear tonight in the presentations.

And in closing, again, here's a little bit of information about how to make scoping comments. You can submit scoping comments directly

to the Department of Energy. You can do those via our website, the project EIS website,

www.greatnorthernEIS.org. Written comments can be sent to me, e-mailed, or if you have any questions or comments you can call me directly.

Once again, thank you very much for coming tonight.

MR. DAVID MOELLER: Good evening. My name is David Moeller, I'm an attorney at Minnesota Power based in Duluth, Minnesota.

We have other people from Minnesota Power and other experts here with us, including Jim Atkinson, who you've probably met with on different aspects of the routing or different open houses and so forth that we'll talk about in a second in our presentation.

I just wanted to briefly go through kind of the project, kind of the purpose of the project, and a little bit on what we've done for outreach prior to the official process that we're here for tonight.

I also thank you for coming. As both

Julie and Tracy said, this is an important part of
the process and so we appreciate that.

We also, as Julie mentioned, there's a

mapping station over here that, after the comments, you can get maps of if you have a spot that you want to see more detail on, we can provide that. Or if you want to look at different alternatives, you can ask people to help you with that as well. So feel free to use that resource at the conclusion of this hearing.

The first slide. Just briefly, Minnesota Power sees this project not just as a transmission line, but as a way to transform our nation's energy sector and energy supply. This is a new, big development for Minnesota Power to bring in hydro energy from Manitoba Hydro. And you can see this is transforming both us as a company but also the Midwest as far as how we generate and produce energy.

For Minnesota Power, the Great Northern
Transmission Line is part of a larger plan. Every
couple years we have to file a resource plan with
the Public Utilities Commission, and we filed the
Great Northern Transmission Line as part of our most
recent plan that was approved last year by the PUC.
And it's also part of a larger plan for Minnesota
Power to diversify our energy resources. When I
started at the company in 2005, we were about a 95

percent coal company. And our goal long term is to get to a third coal, a third natural gas in purchases, and a third renewables, which we include Manitoba Hydro or hydro energy in that mix. So we're not there yet, but we're trying to get to that, and then also have other benefits as the slide talks about.

For Minnesota Power, which is a utility based in Duluth, Minnesota, we don't serve this area, but we do serve most of Duluth, the Iron Range, other parts of northern and a little bit in central Minnesota. And we're not a large utility, but this is an important aspect or an important component of where we're going as a utility.

As I said, you know, we're trying to diversify our fuel supply. That's one of the main drivers for this project, providing access to reliable energy for Minnesota Power's customers, as well as for the region. So it's not just Minnesota Power's customers, but other customers, other utilities that would buy Manitoba Hydro and would have access would use this transmission line.

We also see increased demand in northern

Minnesota, especially along the Iron Range with the

growth of different mining -- ferrous and nonferrous

mining opportunities there. And we'll need to serve that increased demand.

Finally, the new line would provide reliability. As Tracy said, we want to make sure the lights are on. This provides additional reliability, especially between Manitoba and Minnesota, to have a large interconnection between the two systems, two very important systems that help the whole region -- help the region as a whole.

After we've kind of looked through the purpose and as we've created the project, or looked through developing the project, we've developed a siting and permitting strategy. And I won't go through the whole list, but basically we had to chart a path to get where we are today, look at different options, you know, what could go wrong, where can we go for the project, to find where is the possibilities to get from Manitoba to Minnesota Power's served territory.

We came out to you as stakeholders and engaged stakeholders many times to look at the alternatives, and then finally, as Julie and Tracy mentioned, we applied for permits. We applied for both the state route permit and the federal presidential permit on April 14, 2014.

When we were looking at how we were developing the project, we had to look at both opportunities and constraints as we looked, especially on routing. As you can see, this list is too small to read, but there's a lot more constraints than there were opportunities.

Opportunities are places where there's existing corridors, existing transmission lines, other places that make sense to follow, if possible. Constraints are everything from different environmental and scenic and natural areas where either we can't go or it's difficult to go, or other features that we start to have in routing and siting a transmission line.

As I said, we've gone through multiple rounds of stakeholder outreach including in this room a couple times, and we've had other meetings throughout Northern Minnesota and throughout the study area.

I'll page through these quick. So we've done multiple rounds, and there's the locations where we were at. And each time we went through rounds we took the input that agencies and landowners and other stakeholders provided to narrow the options or narrow the corridor and eventually to

come up with route alternatives.

One note on this one, too. In addition, as we were going through the route alternatives, we determined that we needed to look at other additional options along the border, and so we did another round of options, or another round of open houses in this area.

And then ultimately we came up with route alternatives, both the preferred and alternative route. Under statute. We have to provide two route alternatives, and so we see the blue and the orange lines. That's how they're named or how they're titled. Our preferred route is the blue line, those are areas where it's a common corridor between the blue and the orange, and they both follow existing lines for parts of the alternatives.

I think Tracy talked about what is a right-of-way and what are easements, and really we started with a large study area, over 19,000 miles. We've narrowed it down to corridors, about 7,900 miles total, and then we came with route options and route alternatives. And eventually when the project is built, the right-of-way for this project, which will be about 200 feet of right-of-way, will encompass eight areas -- eight miles, eight square

miles.

This is a quick list of all the different open houses and meetings that we've had and all the different comments we've received both in person and online from various stakeholders. And then we were up here in February for the certificate of need scoping hearings, I wasn't here in Baudette that night in February, to give comments on the -- scoping comments on the certificate of need that the Department of Commerce can put together a report that Bill will talk about.

And the last slide for us, besides the route permit and the presidential permit that we're here tonight about, we also need other permits.

Tracy talked about how we need a certificate of need for this project and we're going through that process as well. It's basically a parallel path, a slightly different schedule, but we're going through that process to have the Commission determine whether the project is needed.

And we also need a section 404 permit under the Clean Water Act from the Army Corps of Engineers because of the wetland impacts on the project. And we'll need a license from the Department of Natural Resources because of the state

lands we're crossing is such a large component of the route alternative goes through state lands, so we'll need a license from the DNR for that.

We'd be happy to answer questions, if you have other questions, or after the hearing we will be happy to talk to you about different routes or maps, as I said earlier. And Jim is also available, too, if you have questions.

Thank you.

MR. BILL STORM: Okay. Good evening, folks. My name is Bill Storm. You may remember me from the road trip we did up here on the certificate of need docket process that we did.

I work with the Department of Commerce in these large energy projects where the Public Utilities Commission has the final say on whether to grant a route, where the route should be, and what conditions should be on that route. The Department of Commerce, my group, does the environmental review. That's required by law to do that. In this case, the environmental review is the environmental impact statement.

Since there is an international border crossing, as Julie said, the DOE also has to do an environmental impact statement for this project

because of the federal action. To reduce redundancy, Julie's group, the DOE, and my group, the DOC, are going to produce one document, one environmental impact statement that covers the responsibilities for both agencies.

Before I go further, I just want to point out, it's probably been done, but the things on the table as you came in. The orange card, if you want to be on the project mailing list and get mailings. The green card if you know you want to speak on the record tonight, I'll be calling people after my presentation on that card. An example or copies of the slides that were presented tonight. A blank comment sheet. If you don't feel that comfortable talking in front of people, you don't want to talk in front of the court reporter or me or whoever else is here, you can certainly fill out one of these blank forms. You can give it to me at the end of the evening or you can mail it to me, my address information is on the bottom.

There's a fact sheet on easements and right-of-ways that the Department of Commerce put together to help explain to landowners what an easement is, what a right-of-way is, and Tracy certainly covered that adequately.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The important document that was on the table from my standpoint is the draft scoping As I said, the Department of Commerce, document. we're responsible for the environmental review, which will be an environmental impact statement for this project. The first step in that environmental review is to scope the environmental document. when you think about scoping, think about a table of contents. And this document here explains what environmental review is, but it also lays out a broad table of contents, a broad scope of what I think the general areas that should be covered in the EIS and what I'm seeking from the public from tonight, from the rest of this week and next week and during the comment period is to help me fill in the details of those broad categories. And I'll come back to this as we move forward.

The schedule, everybody sort of hammered you on the schedule already, you know where we're at, we're at the public meeting. Following the public meeting and following the comment period, I will recommend a scope to my commissioner. And I'll take all the comments I get tonight on issues, concerns, and alternative routes that the public would like me to cover in my environmental impact

statement, and I will review them and come up with a recommendation for my commissioner on what the scope, what the table of contents should be, what will be covered in the environmental impact statement. So that will be the next step.

Following that will be the draft EIS. We will come back up here for public meetings so you guys can comment on the draft EIS, and then we use those comments to make the final EIS.

Move through a contested case hearing and then on to the final decision. The final decision that the PUC will be making in this docket is, one, is the environmental impact statement adequate. That means does it address adequately the concerns that were laid out in the scoping decision. Two, should a route permit be issued. Three, where should the route go and what conditions should be assigned to that permit.

In Minnesota, for large energy projects, there are two processes that a project can go under depending on its size. Since this is a fairly large project, it has to go under the full process, which is the longer, year-long plus three-month process. This process includes public scoping and meeting, a comment period, that's what we're doing tonight. A

scoping decision, as I just said, is the commissioner of the Department of Commerce who determines what the scope of the environmental impact statement should be, basically what the content of that document should be.

Once the scoping decision comes out the door we start preparing the draft environmental impact statement. The environmental impact statement is released. There are a series of public meetings and comment periods, just like we're doing tonight, on the draft environmental impact statement to get your comments on the impact statement. Your comments may be, Bill, I made a comment and you didn't cover it. Or your comment may be, Bill, I made a comment but I don't think you fleshed it out good enough, those sorts of things.

And the final environmental impact statement is the draft environmental impact statement with a second volume which is all your comments and our responses to those comments. And that response may be your comment is without a scope, it wasn't in scope so it wasn't in the document. There may be, oh, that's a great comment, that's good information, go back to section 2 of the draft EIS and see how we changed it, and there will

be bold, striked-out changes in the document. So when the final EIS comes out it will have all the public comments and all the agency comments we received and our responses to them, and those responses may include revisions to the environmental document.

Okay. Scoping. What am I here to do today? I'm here to get public input. And there's two things I'm trying to get public input on. What issues and concerns do you have that you want to make sure I cover in the environmental document? And if you have an issue and a concern that you don't think can be mitigated by any other means than avoidance, I'm looking for alternative routes or alternative route segments that will avoid that impact.

We covered the environmental scope.

The environmental impact statement is a written document that describes the human impact and environmental impacts of the transmission line and any alternatives that make it to the scope and the methods to mitigate those alternatives. So that's a definition of what the environmental impact statement is.

To get to the main point of why I'm here

tonight, which is to solicit input from the public, I put together, as I say, this draft scoping document, which you can look at. If you go through and you look at pages 5, bottom of 5 and 6, you'll see what looks like a table of contents. And the categories in this table of contents are pretty broad. Archaeological and historical resources, natural environment, air quality, water, plants, so these are broad categories.

What I want to get from the public tonight is what detail do you want me to cover, what issues are you concerned. And an example on an issue is, if you look at this draft scoping document under 5.14 -- or 5.13, natural environment, flora, plants, you may know from your local knowledge up here from hiking the woods, from being outdoors, from your work in the community, you may know that there's a specific species, in this case I picked Lapland buttercup, that inhabits that area. you look on the map of where the proposed route is, you may say, well, I know there's this unique feature, whether it be a unique plant like the buttercup, or whether it be an ancient or old cedar stand of trees, or a particular unique fen, some issue that you want to make sure I cover in the

environmental impact statement. How will this route as proposed impact that issue, that thing that you're concerned about. So that's one of the things I'm seeking tonight during the comment period, is if you have those issues, make a comment, let me know what those issues are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second thing I'm looking for tonight is alternative route or route segments. If you have an issue that you know that is along the route, say a particular stand of trees or a particular historic property, or something that you're not seeing in the documents to date, in the application from the applicant, and you want to make sure I'm aware of And you're worried that it's not going to be able to be mitigated if that transmission line goes where it wants to go, that the only way to mitigate that is to avoid it, go around it. So what I'm seeking from you in this is what is the issue that you want to mitigate and give me a proposed route or route segment that goes around that.

And I'll run through some examples so you can see what I mean. Before I run through the examples I'll tell you what the rules require from you. If you want to put an alternative route on the table, you need to do two things. You need to

submit your request that this route alternative be considered in the environmental document, why you want that request. What are you trying to mitigate? What is it? Is it that fen, is it that stand of trees, is it some feature, unique feature about that piece of property that the route goes through that you think needs to be avoided. And then to provide me all the supporting information. Any historical information you may have, any knowledge you can point to or tell me about that is unique about that area.

And for an example -- I always find that having the public come up with alternative routes is a little confusing for the public because the bar is kind of high. What I'm asking the public to do is, one, tell me what you're trying to mitigate, show me how you can mitigate it with an alternative route, and give me your supporting information. For the general public that can be a high bar, so I like to give you some examples of what I've done and how things have worked in the past.

If you see up here, this is a project that was proposed from Tower to Embarrass. It was a transmission line, a 115 transmission line that was to travel down the east side of 135 in here in

21

22

23

24

25

Minnesota between Tower and Embarrass. When I went out and did my scoping meeting and met with the public and talked to them, there was a series of landowners in this area that were concerned that the proposed line was going to go across their private property and between them and the road. And the houses weren't set that far back from the road because they don't want to plow all that in the winter. So they felt that that was kind of intrusive. And they knew, they had local knowledge that showed that all the land behind these properties was tax-forfeited land. So they said, Bill, we would like you to consider an alternative route that takes the transmission line, the proposed transmission line off of private property and moves it to this tax-forfeited property behind us. they're not coming to me and saying I don't want it on my property, I don't want to look at it. they're saying is when an option exists of public lands, why not choose public lands to cross rather than private lands.

That made sense to me in this process and this route was carried through to scope. These routes, these alternative routes were evaluated in the environmental document, and at the end, when the

Commission made their determination and made the route for this segment, this alternative route, they did require the utility to go across the public land instead of crossing the private land.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another example is another transmission This is a transmission line down in the Cities. There was an existing 69 kV line, a smaller line, which is in purple here, that went through Chaska, I believe this is. And there's a historic property right here that some folks were concerned about, that if they were going to upgrade that 69 kV line to a larger line, a 115, it would have a wider right-of-way, it would have power poles, it would affect some of the trees in front of the historic building, and it would just affect, they felt, the historic nature of that property. So what they did is they came to me and they said, Bill, we want you to look at two alternatives. One, a route segment alternative, and it's a route segment alternative because you can see the light line here, that's the route, the purple line is where the applicant, the utility wanted to built the transmission line. The citizens said, well, we have two options, Bill. One, a route alternative that brings the line outside the proposed route and down this way, and as

an alternative to that, a change in the alignment. Don't move it out of the route, but just move it across the street and back, okay. So this, the reason they proposed this was they want to mitigate the impacts to the historic property. That made sense to me so I carried it through in my recommendation to the scope to my commissioner. My commissioner agreed to it, it made it into the scope and it was fleshed out during the environmental impact statement.

Now, when the Commission made their determination at the end of this process, after looking at the whole record, they did not feel that the impact to the historic property was to a level that would justify creating that new route so they granted the applicant's request on that purple line.

So another example. This is another transmission line, this one, for another project. It was a new transmission line that was going to travel east-west on the south side of this county road, and the landowners along this road -- it extends further out than this, I just cut out this little bit so you can see it. The landowners along this route felt that the transmission line would impact less people, less homes, if they moved it

across the road to the north where it was all corporate unused paper land. You know, for timber harvest, paper land. Again, that made sense to me. Again, I put that into my recommendation to my commissioner for the scope, it made it into the scope, it was evaluated in the environmental document, and at the end the Commission felt that that made sense and they did permit that

transmission line to the north side of that road.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another example. Doing another transmission line, the utility wanted to built a 115 line along the west side of this county road. was a citizen, a family, who had some generational property next to the -- on the west side of that road in which they had a memorial set up on the property for a member of the family for an event that occurred, and they made a memorial there and it was just outside the right-of-way for that road, They asked me if I would consider looking at okay. a route alternative that moved that transmission line to the east side of that road. That made sense to me, it made it through scope, it carried it through the environmental document, and it was evaluated, the pros and cons of the proposed route versus the alternative route. When this one went

through the process and got to the end decision the Commission did not think that the impact or the potential impact on that memorial site was great. We went out there when we did the environmental impact statement, we went out there and we did measurements, did all the coordinates for it, had all the distance so the Commission had all the information about how far this memorial would be outside the new right-of-way. And when the Commission evaluated all that information, they granted a permit as the utility wanted it, running up the west side of that road, they did not feel the impact raised to a level to that memorial where it should be moved.

Another example. I promise, I think this is my last one. This is another example where it was a rebuild of a transmission line, going from 69 kV to 115 kV. There was a county road that used to follow the transmission line. This is -- the purple is the existing transmission line, the 69 line that's going to be upgraded to a 115. Somewhere in the past the county moved that road north, okay, but the transmission line stayed here. The landowners along this section of the transmission line said we think it makes sense to realign that transmission

line to the county road. That made sense to me so I carried it forward again in my recommendation to my commissioner on scope, it made it into scope, it was evaluated in the environmental document, and at the end the Public Utilities Commission did agree and they realigned the new transmission line to the right-of-way of that road.

So these are some examples. I think that's the last one. Yeah. Those are examples of what I mean when I'm saying I'm looking for alternatives. If you have an issue that you think can only be mitigated by avoidance, I'm asking you to work with me, work within the process, and to come up with an alternative that'll avoid that impact.

Again, it has to be a specific impact that you're trying to mitigate. If you're simply moving the impact from your property to somebody else's property without avoiding or mitigating some unique feature, that's not mitigating, that's just moving the problem. And that won't carry much weight when I evaluate it in the scoping document.

So certainly, when we get to the question, I'll certainly help flesh that out. We do have two GIS stations set up over here, if you want

to work with -- if you have a specific issue that you know of now and you want to work with getting some maps drawn up and getting some route alternative segments to put into the record, we have set up two GIS stations here, and MP and their consultants will help you with that, we'll print out a copy of that so it can get into the record.

So, again, the two things I'm looking for: Issues and concerns you want me to cover in the environmental impact statement, and alternative route or route segments that you want me to consider, okay. So that's what I want from the public for the input.

I just wanted to let you know that I don't do this in isolation. There are, as Dave showed on his slide, there are downstream permits that a utility may have to get if they do get granted a route permit. They may need a permit from the DOT to cross a highway, they may need a permit from the DNR to cross public waters or public lands, they may need a permit from the Pollution Control Agency if they're going to disturb a significant amount of soils.

These downstream agencies by rule, by statute, by law are required to participate with me

in this process. So I seek these agencies' input out. As I'm going through scoping with you, I'm also trying to and working with the other agencies to get them to give me their comments and their concerns because they have concerns that are specific to their mission and specific to their permits that might be down the road.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dave already covered what permits may be existing down the road.

If you want information on the project there are several ways that you can get information. As Tracy laid out, the Public Utilities Commission, they keep a very formal record, eDockets, it's called. And you can find -- if you follow Tracy's instructions, you can find tons of information in there. The Department of Commerce, we also maintain a website for each docket that we're working on that we track comments on. Our website is set up I think to be a little less for the professional advocate or the attorney and more for the general public. think it's pretty user-friendly, you can find what you're looking for. But all the information that's generated, public comments, agency comments, the scoping decision, the draft EIS, all the documents that are generated will be posted on our website as

well as Tracy's eDocket website. And to make things even a little more complex, since we have the DOE with us, we also have a federal website which mirrors the same information.

So the scoping period is open until August 15. There's a little juxtaposition between us and the feds, the later one counts, so if you have comments that you want to make sure that I consider for the scope of the environmental review document, you need to have your comments to me by August 15th. And as I said, you can U.S. mail me, you can e-mail me, you can fax me, or you can get to our website and make a comment there.

So one thing I do want to point out, whether you make a comment to me or to Julie or on my website or on the fed website, all the comments will be shared and will be pooled, you know, it's just you have multiple avenues to comment. You only need to comment in one of those spots and you'll be covered. So whatever is most convenient for you, you only need to make the comment once.

Okay. So that's all my information for tonight. What I'm going to do is get to the point where I ask the public now to give me comments.

Remember, as you came in, I said fill the

yellow card out, I'll select from these people 1 first. Once I run out of cards, I'll do a show of 2 hands if there's any interest beyond that. 3 do ask is if I call your name, please come to the 5 mic, state and spell your name, and then ask your question or make your comment. If you have a 6 7 question, I will direct it to -- if it can be 8 answered tonight, some questions can't be answered 9 and we'll consider that, we'll get the answer in the 10 record. But if you ask a question I will do my best 11 to either answer it myself, if it's a question for 12 me, Tracy, if it's pertinent to the process, MP if 13 it's pertinent to the project, DOE if it's pertinent 14 to the DOE. 15 So, with that, I'm going to start calling 16 on people. 17 David Leonhardt is the first person. 18 Step to the mic. 19 MR. DAVID LEONHARDT: Use that one or that one? 20 21 MR. BILL STORM: That one. 22 MR. DAVID LEONHARDT: Which way am I 23 supposed to face?

and try to speak slower than I do.

MR. BILL STORM: Face the court reporter,

24

25

1 MR. DAVID LEONHARDT: All right. Yeah, 2 my name is David Leonhardt, that's L-E-O-N-H-A-R-D-T. And I'm from Waskish. 3 Do you need the address? All right. 4 5 Okay. I guess I'd just like to make a comment, if I could. So maybe I have to leave this 6 on here? 7 MR. BILL STORM: Be careful there, Dave. 8 MR. DAVID LEONHARDT: I'd like to face 9 10 the audience. 11 MR. BILL STORM: That's fine, as long as 12 the court reporter can hear you. 13 MR. DAVID LEONHARDT: Okay. 14 mentioned, my name is David Leonhardt, and I'm from 15 Waskish, I'm a member of the Waskish Town Board, and 16 I'm also the chairman of the citizen advisory 17 committee for the Big Bog State Recreation Area. 18 And we have had a couple of concerns down 19 there in the first meetings that I attended that the 20 power company sponsored. Some of the broader areas 21 that they had designated as a route, possible route 22 went right through the Waskish community, and that 23 presented a couple of problems. And one of our

concerns there was the fact that the power line

was -- that possibly could have gone in between the

24

25

Waskish airport and most of the farms in that area. Which would have made a very -- which would have been a real obstacle for the cropduster flying off the Waskish airport to get to all the farms around this area, you'd have to fly up over that power line. And he makes about 1,500 takeoffs and landings a year there at that airport, so that was one of our concerns.

But now as they narrowed down the route, now the alternative route, it's designated as an alternative route now, I believe. Anyway, now it's moved to the east and it's east of the Beltrami/Koochiching County line. And at that point there, there's only I think one farm that would be on the opposite side, so that problem I think has been alleviated. But just to bear that in mind, that that was one of our concerns.

The other concern I have is relating to the Big Bog State Recreation Area, is that, I don't know, I suppose -- I hope everybody has been out on the bog walk. Anyway, Ludlow Island, if anyone is familiar with that term, is about seven or eight miles north of Waskish, and that's where we have a mile-long bog walk out there. It's an elevated boardwalk that goes for one mile out into the bog.

And our concern there is that when we get out to the terminus of the bog walk, it's going to be only about a little over a mile from the place where the power line would cross in front of that terminus. So we would be able to see the power -- or we'd be able to see the power be the power be able to see the power there.

I thought probably that maybe the trees might be tall enough to block it, but he assured me over there when I went to the GIS station that we would be able to see them. And so that's a real problem for us, you know, it's a concern for us as far as the park is concerned. Otherwise, the view out there at the terminus of the bog walk is completely pristine, there is no lights of any towers or anything that you can see from out there. And the only thing you can see is stars and we'd like to keep it that way if we could.

So the interesting thing about this, and I'd like to comment on this, if I could. When I attended that first meeting at the power company, then I suggested, my suggestion was, I said, why not make this simple and follow the corridor that the other power line that already exists goes through, that goes down. Anyway, they said they would like to do that, but they said they can't because of the

2

3 4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

scientific and natural area designations that's been placed on a huge area of this Big Bog.

And, anyway, that's the reason why they have to get so close with this corridor to the terminus of our bog walk, is the fact that that's the only place where they can fit between two scientific and natural areas.

And my question is, who designated all of this area as scientific and natural, and why can't they at least follow another corridor that already goes through there anyway? But even though they said no, they said even though the power line that currently exists in there, they're not going to be allowed to parallel that. And that seems strange to me and it seems unreasonable.

So, but anyway, that's a question I'd like to raise, is how many people are aware of the fact that the huge area -- I don't have one of those maps with me, I wish I would have -- how much of this area out there of the Big Bog has all of a sudden been declared out of bounds? Technically, a lot of it you aren't even supposed to enter. And I was wondering where does this designation come from, who has authority to do it and who did it?

> MR. BILL STORM: Thank you, David. Ι

can't answer your question as to when the SNA was established relative to the transmission line. I do know that SNAs are by rule and law prohibited sites. The Commission can't site a transmission line through an SNA. The information that you're looking for on how that was set up, I do not have in my head, I don't know that knowledge. We can certainly commit to adding a paragraph or two in the EIS that talks about what an SNA is and this particular situation where we have a transmission line going through an SNA now and the SNAs currently are prohibited sites, we can flesh that sequence of events, that history out for you in the environmental document. That would make sense.

MR. DAVID LEONHARDT: Yeah. As far as suggesting an alternate route, the line that currently exists there, that one there is well out of eyesight of the terminus, so if it could stay close to that it would be no problem at all. So that's why that, you know, that factor would enter in there, is if they could at least follow that corridor to get past the park there, that sure would be a big benefit for us.

MR. BILL STORM: Again, thank you, Dave.

I comprehend what you're saying and I see the sort

of catch-22 that exists. The only thing I can tell you at this point is the rules prohibit the Commission from siting a transmission line through the SNA. The only thing I can promise is, one, we can flesh out the history of SNAs and the line that's currently there so people have a better understanding of why is that line there if you can't have one through an SNA.

Alternatively, I could request that you sit down with the GIS guys and put an alternative segment on the table. You have until August 15th to do this. These guys, I'm sure, would be glad to work with you tonight on it. Maybe if we push that line further away, if that's a possibility with an alternative, that could be something that could at least be evaluated.

MR. DAVID LEONHARDT: To me, the alternative is very simple, just follow the other line.

MR. BILL STORM: Well, if dogs could fly, too. There's not much I can do about that. But I can promise that we can flesh the issue out in the environmental document. We can, in the environmental document, try to represent some rendering, if the transmission line goes in the

alignment that's anticipated, what they might look like from the terminus, you know, we could do that as part of the fact gathering that we're gathering in the EIS.

Alternatively, I would strongly suggest that you sit down and try to, with your folks, with your folks who are concerned about that viewshed issue with the bog, see if you can come up with an alternative route segment that may avoid that. I don't know the particulars on the ground yet of this.

Jim, Dave, anybody want to speak to that issue further?

MR. JIM ATKINSON: Yes. First of all, I would like to state for the record that

Mr. Leonhardt's representation of the facts here are very accurate. I think you've got a good handle on what the circumstances are and why we were forced on that alternative to go where it is. And, indeed, there's a 23,000-acre SNA just to the north and east of our alternative route in that area and our route is actually immediately adjacent to it. So we're as far over as we can go without actually impacting that SNA. And there's another one to the west and the south of there, too.

MR. DAVID LEONHARDT: When we were working to establish the Big Bog SRA there, we selected out -- decided on separating out 9,600 acres there that would be -- that would be the area of the SRA of the state park. And that was -- we made it there because it was right adjacent to a scientific and natural area. So at that time that was the only one that there was, it was on the west side of the highway there, and we established the park there right adjacent to that because it was a good fit there right alongside of that. And then now, when I attended that first meeting here, I saw that the whole country there is just about all SRA, or just about all a scientific and natural area.

MR. JIM ATKINSON: And the boardwalk does terminate in an area where it is essentially treeless, out in the open part of the bog, so the viewshed goes out a long ways, I'm sure much further than the transmission line would be away, so I think it would be well within that.

MR. DAVID LEONHARDT: There wouldn't be some way to establish that for certain, would there?

MR. JIM ATKINSON: There are ways, and we actually are discussing developing a photo simulation of what it would look like and so we plan

1 to do that.

MR. DAVID LEONHARDT: Thank you for the opportunity to let me speak. I should have acknowledged that to begin with.

MR. BILL STORM: Thank you, Dave. Next up, Dick Myers. Please come to the mic, state and spell your name for the court reporter and, again, try to speak slower than I do.

MR. DICK MYERS: My name is Dick Myers, I
live at P.O. Box -- I live in Warroad, Minnesota,
Post Office Box 16. Is that all you need?

COURT REPORTER: I just need the spelling of your last name.

MR. DICK MYERS: M-Y-E-R-S.

Just getting back, I thought what Dave said, I think you better get with some politicians. And you've already got a power line in that scientific and natural area, you know, what's the difference if you put another one alongside of it? There must be someone that you can get to someplace that can make a decision that would enhance the thing.

I recognize the need for the power, and thank goodness we have Manitoba who can generate this power and give it to us. Unfortunately, those

of us that live up here have to put up with these lines going through and we really don't get any benefit. But that's the way things go.

My biggest problem is you're going to follow the orange route south and then you're going to branch off and go to the blue route, which you're going to establish a new corridor when you go from the orange route to the blue route. And you're going to have a new right-of-way that's going to ecologically, to me, going to disrupt the area. It's a major area for timber wolves, fisher marten. And I think, you know, you have two lines already, the DNR's recommended you parallel those two lines, and you can start -- south of Roosevelt, you can start paralleling the blue line if you want, or you can extend the orange line.

And all I got to say is that seems to me that would be a lot better than a new corridor, a new right-of-way, a new clear-cut area, and I'd like to see you do that.

That's all I have to say.

MR. BILL STORM: Thank you, Dick.

Any comment on that at all from you

folks?

MR. JIM ATKINSON: Mr. Myers and I have

discussed this on a couple of occasions and I think
I understand his concerns and he knows why we
proposed what we have.

MR. BILL STORM: Okay. Thomas Beadle. Please state and spell your name for the court reporter.

MR. THOMAS BEADLE: Thomas, T-H-O-M-A-S, Beadle, B-E-A-D-L-E. I live in Warroad, Minnesota. And I own and use land in Potamo Township, Lake of the Woods County.

Dave's point about paralleling is what I think should be done, too. I think they should parallel the existing lines. I don't think they should cut any new corridors. I think in this day and age I don't think there's any reason to disturb the environment any more, there's several options they can go with.

Let's see here, what have we got. I think they should parallel on the orange route and, if not, if they can't use the orange route, I think they should go on the blue route and join it at Roosevelt, south of Roosevelt.

As far as the new corridor goes, with the land is close to where I own land and also land that I use. And it's wetland, you know.

Also, it's hard to tell what the power company wants to do. They have a proposed corridor that's like this and they say they are only going to use this much. So maybe they can move it, you know, half a mile south, and then it wouldn't be -- it wouldn't affect me. But I still think they should parallel the existing corridors.

That's about all I have.

MR. BILL STORM: Thank you, Thomas.

MR. THOMAS BEADLE: It's Thomas.

MR. BILL STORM: Thank you, Thomas.

One thing I would like to say, and I think Tracy touched on it a little bit, but we know that the applicant has come to the Commission requesting a route of -- a varying route, 3,000 feet, varying a little bit, and within that route they would like to have a 200-foot easement along their anticipated alignment. And they do state in the application where their route is and where their anticipated alignment is.

Historically, the reason that -- well, utilities are given the latitude to ask for wide routes when they only need a sliver of a right-of-way is so that they have some flexibility when it comes to building the route there, where the

rubber meets the road, and they run into maybe a bedrock outcropping that they weren't aware of, or they have a landowner who would rather have the line go, instead of the front of his house, between the road and his house, put it on the back 40.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's the rationale behind why you see the rule allowing that to come in with a much wider route than the right-of-way, than they'll However, I want to qualify that statement by, need. as Tracy noted, as you saw on my schedule, once the draft EIS comes out and that comment period is ongoing, there will be a public hearing. And that public hearing will be up here in this area just like the road show I'm doing this week and next week, it'll be with an ALJ, an administrative law judge who is from the Office of Administrative Hearings, who will gather information, and that's the time for the public to say, okay, I realize the route's coming across my property, and I see the anticipated alignment. I don't want the route, for whatever your rationale may be, to be 3,000 feet I want a more clear idea of where that alignment is going to go across my property. So I'm requesting to the judge that when the permit comes out, if they do pick a route that crosses my

property, that the permit states that where it crosses the Jones property, the alignment will be specified and this is what we've agreed to, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you do that, you sort of are limiting your flexibility in the future, then. But the Commission can put conditions -- the Commission can put conditions on a permit that do that, that restrict that right-of-way to a known area, you know. If there's some reason that there's some unique feature or there's some reason that the route should be shrunk down to almost or the exact width of the alignment to help and to assure that whatever this concern is is avoided. And you can make that comment during the public hearings to the judge and give your rationale for it, and the judge at the end of the public hearings, end of the comment period, he will assemble a report based on the entire record -- not just the EIS, but the entire record, and he'll make recommendations. And there have been cases where the judge has recommended to the Commission specific conditions relative to alignments in a case and that has carried forward to the Commission and the Commission has accepted it. There's also been cases where the judge didn't feel

you made a case and that wasn't a recommendation of his. But the public hearing is a good place to bring up those type of issues, those alignment issues.

MR. THOMAS BEADLE: I have one question.

Back to this scientific and natural area, is there
any kind of a variance you can apply for? I mean,
there's already a line there, you would think you'd
have a good case to put another one alongside of it.

Can you apply for a variance like you can in county
things here, you can apply for a variance?

MR. BILL STORM: One, I wouldn't be the one applying for the variance because I'm just doing the environmental review. Two, I'm not an attorney, I don't know. I've never heard of anything like that, the only thing I know is SNAs are prohibited sites under the rules. You may want an attorney to speak to that.

MR. DAVID MOELLER: I'm not sure I know. I hear what you're saying, that's a process for different zoning options, I don't know if it's significant to there, we can check into that.

MR. THOMAS BEADLE: Sure. It would solve a lot of problems.

MR. DAVID LEONHARDT: It sure would.

MR. DAVID MOELLER: I think the answer would probably be no, because it's by rule and by legislative requirements.

UNIDENTIFIED: Change the law, then.

MR. BILL STORM: Okay, if you discuss it amongst yourselves, the court reporter isn't going to capture it.

MR. DAVID MOELLER: It's a state rule.

MR. BILL STORM: But we can certainly commit to, in the EIS, that discussion where we flesh out what an SNA is and the history of the SNA and that particular line that goes through it. We can also flesh out anything like that that's never been done or existed. I know of none of it. Okay?

Ross Dally. Ross, just as before, state and spell your name, talk slower than me.

MR. ROSS DALLY: Ross Dally, D-A-L-L-Y.

I have a small problem, but I don't think it's going to amount to anything. I have an old place four miles straight south of here on the 230 line. The existing 230 line comes through there and it jogs to the north of this whole farmstead that I bought. And from looking at your maps, it looks like if the new power line goes through, it'll go on the south side of the existing 230 line. Is that

the way it goes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JIM ATKINSON: I'm just pulling that up right now. Yeah, the intended centerline is currently south of the existing 230 line.

MR. ROSS DALLY: So it wouldn't bother me a bit. If you went north, I've got a bunch of trees planted and it might bother me.

Okay. Yep, thanks.

MR. BILL STORM: Ross, I just want to point out that your concern is a great concern to bring up when we're up here for the public hearing, to let the judge know that. And you may, depending on how your conversation goes with MP as we move towards the public hearing, you may want to recommend to the judge or request from the judge that the judge consider as a permit condition that the alignment, where it crosses the area that you're concerned about, where the anticipated alignment is south of that line, that that become a permit condition. That you want to make sure, take that flexibility away, so that they have to be on the south side of that line. And I would recommend that when we get to the public hearing point that you participate again and stress that that is something you would like the judge to consider so that the

Commission can consider it.

MR. ROSS DALLY: Okay. Yep. Okay, thanks.

MR. BILL STORM: Okay. I'm out of cards so I'll go with a show of hands. Does anybody want to speak on the record tonight? Any comments, any considerations?

Okay. Remember you have until

August 15th to get your comments in to me. Again,

I'm looking for comments on issues you want me to

cover or concerns you want me to cover in the

environmental impact statement. Two, any

alternative route segments that you would like me to

consider in the environmental impact statement. And

remember, if you do have alternatives, you need to

state what it is you're trying to mitigate,

illustrate out your alternative to me and supply any

other supporting information you can on that.

Again, I appreciate everybody coming out. My number and my information is here, it's on some of the handouts. Throughout this whole process, if you have a question, please feel free to call me if you want to discuss something, if you need help working out your comment or if you need help maybe putting forth an alternative, please give me a call

		69
1	and I can work with you on that.	
2	Other than that, one more callout.	
3	Anybody want to comment? Show of hands? Going	
4	once, twice?	
5	Okay. Thank you, everybody. I do	
6	appreciate you coming out.	
7	(Meeting concluded at 7:33 p.m.)	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		